The world’s FMCG giants are ideally positioned to thrive in the ‘new normal’
The biggest fmcg players were in great shape heading into the coronavirus. And many are ideally positioned to thrive in the ‘new normal’

Alec Mattinson
the storm
The early 2019 profits warning from ZBB’s poster-child Kraft Heinz proves this isn’t always a successful way to boost profits. “Where you have seen the approach go wrong in certain instances is where it was pushed too far and started taking out cost that was required to tackle fundamental challenges around the brand proposition,” Hayllar says.

These cautionary tales – see also AB InBev – could now see a shift in focus away from cost-cutting. Liberum analyst Nico von Stackelberg points out some companies “tried to take out the non-working dollars and in doing so cut the fat, but a number also took out a bit of the muscle and in some cases cut into the bone”. For that reason, “investors today hear zero-based budgeting and shudder a little because of the perceived problems that come with it” he believes.

Deboo also finds the woes of Kraft Heinz and AB InBev have “impacted investor confidence in the margin-driven growth strategy”. For him, the Covid crisis has provided something of “a pretext to get away from these aggressive margin targets and back to being focused on growth”.

Nestle’s UK & Ireland CEO Stefano Agostini seems to be taking that tack. “Our bottom line is solid and we want to keep that direction, but accelerating the top line is the priority,” he says. “We needed to work on our agility and speed to innovate and we are in a much better position to compete now.”

In fact, the vast majority of the Global 50 are in a good position to compete. Organic sales growth and margin expansion has helped bolster overall balance sheets to potentially insulate them from the short term hits of coronavirus. OC&C found more than 75% of the companies “tried to take out the non-working dollars and in some cases cut into the bone”. For example, Nestle’s UK & Ireland CEO Stefano Agostini seems to be taking that tack. “Our bottom line is solid and we want to keep that direction, but accelerating the top line is the priority,” he says. “We needed to work on our agility and speed to innovate and we are in a much better position to compete now.”

Indeed, among the 40 companies on our list that have reported first-quarter sales figures encompassing the early spread of the coronavirus, there is evidence of the durability of food and drink players. A quarter posted double-digit growth in the period, with the top four majorly benefiting from the closure of bars and restaurants.

There are other factors that have benefited the food and drink players on this list, too. Lockdown played into the hands of larger players “as supermarkets had been handed a pretext to get away from the closure of bars and restaurants...” he adds.
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Costa brand, using its strong go-to-market capabilities to roll out the products internationally."

A resurgent Coca-Cola drove strong top-line growth from expanding its beverage focus outside its core carbonates portfolio, most notably through the $4.9bn acquisition of Costa Coffee in January 2019. While moving into coffee retail and food-to-go was unfortunately timed given lockdown, the deal gave Coke a leading coffee brand and burgeoning coffee machine business. Coca-Cola has launched RTD coffees under the Costa brand, using its strong go-to-market capabilities to roll out the products internationally."

Rapid innovation helped boost the top line for Brazilian meat giant JBS. The world’s largest meat processor launched more than 180 products in 2019 – most notably its Seara Nature ‘100% natural’ range and plant-based Incredible Seara brand. It also unveiled more premium ranges, such as Aspen Ridge Natural Beef, Grass Run Farms, Imperial Wagyu and Adaptable brand products. Margins were also helped by economies of scale in raw material procurement and production as volumes increased.

Kweichow Moutai jumped six places in the Global 50, driven by an uplift in pricing, portfolio optimisation and an improvement in channel mix. The Chinese spirits producer has continued to focus on premiumisation, launching special custom editions of its core ranges. DTC sales also soared in the year via e-commerce and direct owned stores, rising 67% and growing from 6% to 8% of total sales. The group terminated relationships with 640 franchisers last year to further build its direct sales.

Chinese dairy giant Mengniu grew rapidly last year due to innovation and aggressive partnerships with emerging new channels. It posted 14.3% growth in the liquid milk segment (which constitutes 80% of its sales) driven by new brands and flavours, such as flavoured yoghurt drinks. Its co-operation with a leading local B2B platform helped it penetrate low-tier Chinese cities, while partnerships with online specialists enabled it to offer rapid delivery and pick-up services.

The crisis also benefited the long-dated shelf-stable products that the globe’s largest FMCG suppliers tend to sell. “People have been filling up pantries and grabbing brands that they know well rather than looking to experiment,” says Liberum’s von Stackelberg.

These structural tailwinds go some way to explaining why share prices across the consumer goods industry have bounced back since March lows, even for some that suffered out-of-home sales wipesouts (see box, p29).

In essence, the coronavirus seems to have reinstated the competitive advantages enjoyed by multinational giants. “Through the disruption of Covid and ensuing global recessions these big players are going to find themselves well-positioned relative to smaller brands,” Hayllar argues. “The better funding that big multinationals have means that where there is real distress in certain sectors – such as out-of-home consumption – the big players are more likely to withstand it.”

Jefferies’ Deboo also says the ability to supply at large scale has been “a source of competitive advantage” at this time. “The smaller, trendy guys tend to have contract manufacturing arrangements and they generally got wrongfooted by the disruption” to be helpful in terms of reducing volatility,” he says. Of course, the worst of the volatility looks to be coming to an end. Lockdown is easing or over in most markets and shopping habits are returning somewhat towards pre-Covid norms. Even as normality returns, though, the major food and drink players will continue to see beneficial effects because the pandemic has ushered in long-lasting changes to the grocery channel mix.
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Long-term change
First, the shift to in-home calorie consumption and ongoing prevalence of home working will take a long time to unwind. Safety concerns and a likely economic downturn seem set to continue feeding increased appetite for home cooking, while health and hygiene are working hand in hand for once in personal care.

Some Global 50 companies are exposed significantly to food-to-go, but the breadth of their businesses should help insulate them from these downturns while being boosted from a long-term shift to at-home consumption. Second, the global growth of online grocery during Covid-19 – OC&C suggests it underwent a four-year change in terms of penetration in just four weeks – is unlikely to reset to a great extent. E-commerce growth moved from 13% in the UK pre-Covid to 30%, from 56% to 73% in the US and 40% to 110% in Spain.

A permanent shift to online grocery is likely to benefit the larger players, says Deboo. “Online theoretically lowers the barrier to entry for smaller players, but ...
all the evidence suggests that consumer appetite to search for everyday products online is very limited,” he points out. “So even in the case of Ocado – arguably the world’s most sophisticated grocery retailer – they still need to keep their robotic warehouses busy with fast-moving lines that are bought in large volumes.”

Hayllar tells a similar story. “As people shop by favourites online, it can actually play into the hands of the big players as those are the brands more frequently searched for and it is harder for smaller brands to disrupt with promotions,” he explains.

Plus, let’s not forget the pandemic has also prompted large brand owners to bypass the retailers altogether. Major players like PepsiCo and Heinz have launched direct-to-consumer websites during the lockdown to broaden their digital capabilities, enabled by new platforms from the likes of Shopify and The Hut Group.

“For almost the first time, large consumer goods companies are thinking much more aggressively about DTC,” says Sachak. “That trend isn’t going to reverse and will have more investment behind it than ever.”

So all in all, things are looking pretty positive for the Global 50, even as a pandemic rages on and worldwide recession looms. That doesn’t mean they can rest on their laurels, though. The Global 50 will need to continue to tailor their portfolios and product offerings to reflect fundamental long-term consumer trends, and avoid areas that will be derailed by the pandemic.

For an example of the latter, see travel retail. A slump in revenues – estimated to drop by up to 60% in the next months – will continue to have a damaging effect on those operating in this space, such as cosmetics firm Shiseido and spirits player Pernod Ricard.

It is also worthy of note that both of these names play in the luxury end of the market. Because the shift to premiumisation that characterised last year may also come under threat. Liberum’s von Stackelberg suggests a recessionary period could delay the price mix improvements made by the big fmcg players.

However, he stresses that this will only be temporary. “Make no mistake, over a 10-year period this trend will continue… Over a longer term global GDP should continue to outpace population growth, meaning in theory people will become more wealthy and be able to spend a little more to trade up,” he says.

Premiumisation and health

Hayllar also remains fairly confident in the future of premiumisation. “Inevitably people are going to have less to spend and will have to justify their trading up decisions more actively,” he says. “But the direction of travel will not reverse – those brands able to give people a measure of aspiration but in an affordable way will win out.”

Similarly, the growing importance of health, wellness and provenance are also only likely to receive a temporary setback from any recession. While consumers may feel less enthused about paying a premium for healthy produce, the coronavirus has also heightened issues around food quality and fresh ingredients.

During the pandemic, consumers have reported eating fewer unhealthy snacks and processed foods, while cooking at home has led to increased consumption of fresh foods and ingredients.

“Health and wellness are absolutely here to stay,” says Sachak. “The pandemic has made a significant issue of traceability and hygiene and that is probably going to mean packaged goods could benefit against artisanal, locally produced goods that cannot operate the same hygiene protocols.”

These areas have been a focus of Global 50 M&A activity in recent years – and 2019 was no exception. Overall, last year’s activity was modest, with deal numbers down 17% to 48 and deal values down by 40% to €43bn as only Asahi’s purchase of AB InBev’s Australian operations topped $10bn.

However, demand for higher-growth natural
and healthy assets continued. Unilever’s buyout of Graze and PepsiCo’s deal for Natural Foods were joined by deals for Filorga, Fanci and Drunk Elephant in beauty and cosmetics. It marked a continuation of 2018, when three of the top 10 deals were in consumer health.

Understandably, the coronavirus has put a temporary block on this type of targeted M&A. Deal activity has remained relatively scarce in the first six months of 2020 amid ongoing disruption and uncertainty. However, in the longer term, observers suggest the market turbulence and economic downturns caused by the coronavirus should act as a strong incentive for larger players to partake in M&A.

“The cost of debt is epically low so those with stronger balance sheets should be able to buy assets cheaply and there will be distressed assets on the market,” says Deboo, though he cautions that many distressed assets will be focused on the foodservice sector, which isn’t particularly attractive to buyers at this time.

“We are active in M&A opportunities in segments that will give us a stronger portfolio and more opportunities to boost growth,” says Nestlé’s Agostini. “But we are not looking to take advantage of the crisis. We don’t look for companies that are in difficulty, we are looking for companies that can give us opportunities for productive partnerships and growth.”

Sachak foresees a prevalence of all-stock mergers that do not stress balance sheets, in addition to well capitalised players absorbing businesses from other multinationals who have gone into the coronavirus crisis over-leveraged and need to offload assets.

“We are looking for companies that can give us opportunities for productive partnerships and growth.”

As the coronavirus swept the globe in early March, the stock market saw dramatic slumps. Of the 40 Global 50 firms that released Q1 trading reports, only two – Grupo Bimbo and Meiji – did not see share price drops in the first three months of 2020. Particularly steep falls included a 44.3% drop at AB InBev, 36.4% at Tyson Foods and 29.6% at Asahi.

But share prices rebounded strongly once the initial shock of Covid-19 had passed. By the end of May, 35 of these 40 companies had seen share price increases since March collapse as the strong balance sheets of fmcg groups improved investor sentiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>01.03.20 VS 31.12.19</th>
<th>31.05.20 VS 01.03.20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nestlé</td>
<td>-5.1% +4.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procter &amp; Gamble</td>
<td>-11.9% +5.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PepsiCo</td>
<td>-12.1% +9.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilever</td>
<td>-15.1% +5.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB InBev</td>
<td>-44.3% +3.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JBS</td>
<td>-21.2% +8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyson Foods</td>
<td>-36.4% +6.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coca-Cola</td>
<td>-20.1% +5.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L’Oréal</td>
<td>-9% +8.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philip Morris</td>
<td>-14.3% +0.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Covid-19 has driven huge changes across the consumer goods industry; with major channel shifts from offline to online and out of home to in-home, in the relative appeal of different categories and brands to address changed consumer need-states, in the resilience sought within supply chains, in the importance of balance sheet strength, and in thousands of operational details to enable the industry to successfully function through different stages of lockdown. Our latest annual study of the Global 50 FMCG giants shows that whilst these changes pose many challenges they also create opportunities and the strength of the Global 50 positions them well to ride out the storm and win through the challenging conditions of Covid-19 and the global recession that will follow.

This turbulence creates larger than ever gaps between winners and losers. Are you positioned to be one of the winners?

- Is your portfolio well positioned to capitalise on changes to consumer needs?
- Are you strongly present in the channels that consumers have gravitated towards?
- Is your supply chain resilient to local disruptions?
- Can you capitalise on competitors who are exposed and struggling to adapt?
- Can you use your balance sheet to fund M&A that step-changes your competitive position?

If you’ve answered ‘no’ to any of the above - or would just like to find out more about the OC&C Global 50 - our team would be delighted to hear from you.

Contact our Consumer Goods team:
consumer@occstrategy.com